

## QA - coordination #98457

coordination # 91646 (Blocked): [saga][epic] SUSE Maintenance QA workflows with fully automated testing, approval and release

### [epic] Handle openqa-review reminder comments on very old jobs better

2021-09-10 10:47 - okurz

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	2021-10-14
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	okurz	<b>% Done:</b>	100%
<b>Category:</b>		<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Ready		

#### Description

#### Motivation

openqa-review puts reminder comments jobs even they are multiple months old. This can be confusing to some users and the existing options in [https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa\\_review/blob/master/openqa\\_review/openqa\\_review.py#L196](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/blob/master/openqa_review/openqa_review.py#L196) might not be good enough for some users.

Also see <https://suse.slack.com/archives/C02CANHLANP/p1631255003169600>

#### Ideas

- *DONE* Don't comment if the content of the comment would be the same with same job -> [#100982#101722](#)
- *DONE* Maybe just extend the reminder comment with the option to specify a label instead of a bugref, e.g. label:wontfix:bsc1234 -> [https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa\\_review/pull/177](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/pull/177)
- *DONE* Change the reminder comments if there are already existing reminder comments:
  1. Compare the existing reminder comments with the template. If it's the same except for \$name and \$url then do not repeat the steps what can be done to prevent more reminders, just the starting line and \$name and \$url and maybe a pointer to the previous comment
  2. If there are already two (or more?) similar reminder comments as above vary the message even more
  3. If there are more than N reminder comments write a final notice and that openqa-review gives up to remind
  4. For now we will try exponential backoff, see [#106907](#)
- *DONE* Extend the reminder comments to state where exactly the ticket reference comes from, at best point the step detail in openQA or to a code location or the workaround needle that references a ticket
  - openQA test detail step covered in [#106909](#)
  - for reference, the autoinst-log.txt has a line [2022-02-08T11:34:07.525532+01:00] [debug] needle 'generic-desktop-test-20220206' is a workaround. The reason is bsc#123456
- *DONE* Additional suggestions by mdoucha: Don't remind on soft-fails: "Most soft-fails in kernel groups are WONTFIX issues and stuff that isn't important enough to fix immediately. We know about it, we'll fix what needs to be fixed eventually, we don't need a reminder". This seems to be a squad specific workflow which is not generally true. So here I would stick with the current behaviour -> It's suggested to use "record\_info" instead of "record\_soft\_failure" for such issues. However we decided to support a specific "no reminder" pattern that is configurable and can be used to avoid reminders -> [#106368](#)

#### Discarded ideas

- Suggestion by foursixnine: Don't comment at all on a job result that is multiple months old. okurz sees a problem with that approach because if these results are still the "most recent" ones then users might be able to follow why such jobs would not be referenced in reminder comments -> It's suggested to move according job groups to "Released" or "EOL" or simply ensure that testing starts earlier, e.g. for Alpha0 of new product iterations

#### Subtasks:

action # 100982: openqa-review: Do not post reminder comment if comment would be exactl...	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 101722: openqa-review: Do not post reminder comment in progress.opensuse.org i...	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 106368: openqa-review: Configurable no-reminder message pattern size:M	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 106907: Exponential backoff for reminders based on previous reminders size:M	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 106909: [openqa-review] reminder comments point to specific openQA test detail...	<b>Resolved</b>

#### History

#1 - 2021-09-10 14:07 - okurz

A small trivial suggestion: [https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa\\_review/pull/177](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/pull/177)

**#2 - 2021-09-27 07:07 - okurz**

- Description updated

**#3 - 2021-10-14 09:45 - okurz**

- Description updated

**#4 - 2021-10-14 09:47 - okurz**

- Tracker changed from action to coordination

- Subject changed from Handle openqa-review reminder comments on very old jobs better to [epic] Handle openqa-review reminder comments on very old jobs better

from estimation meeting

**#5 - 2021-10-14 10:13 - mkittler**

- Status changed from New to Workable

**#6 - 2021-10-14 10:49 - okurz**

- Description updated

- Status changed from Workable to Blocked

- Assignee set to okurz

added one idea how to handle multiple reminder comments with similar content and no response in tickets. Have not created a dedicated subticket for that. First we can go with the existing subtask and see how we proceed.

**#7 - 2021-11-12 11:20 - okurz**

- Description updated

- Status changed from Blocked to Workable

both subtasks finished. We can continue with the other ideas.

**#8 - 2021-11-24 10:28 - okurz**

- Assignee deleted (okurz)

**#10 - 2022-02-11 07:52 - okurz**

- Parent task set to #91646

**#11 - 2022-02-16 11:21 - okurz**

- Description updated

- Status changed from Workable to Blocked

- Assignee set to okurz

In the weekly SUSE QE tools unblock 2022-02-16 we refined the ticket, came up with new tasks and new ideas. Noted down in subtasks and description so I can track the ticket as "Blocked" by subtasks.

**#12 - 2022-04-05 20:05 - okurz**

- Description updated

- Status changed from Blocked to Resolved

We have completed all the mentioned ideas. I am out of further ideas right now and have received no complaints since then, only a question which I could answer with the now existing features. This concludes this epic successfully.