

openQA Project - action #94838

Make qem-dashboard a proper public open source project

2021-06-29 08:21 - okurz

Status:	New	Start date:	2021-06-29
Priority:	Normal	Due date:	
Assignee:		% Done:	0%
Category:	Feature requests	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	future		
Difficulty:			
Description			
Motivation			
I wonder why I even need to write this, we are working at SUSE, right? :) (If still not convinced see opensource.suse.com/ and https://intra.suse.net/company/living-our-values-everyday/ and if you don't want to talk to me about this then talk to others, e.g. bzoltan1)			
Acceptance criteria			
• AC1: https://gitlab.suse.de/opensuse/qem-dashboard/ is developed in a public place			
Related issues:			
Related to QA - action #97274: qam dashboard improvement ideas		New	2021-08-20
Blocks QA - action #94588: [qem] dashboard.qam.suse.de to be included in appr...		New	2021-06-23
Copied to openQA Project - action #95821: Make qa-maintenance/openQABot a pro...		New	2021-06-29

History

#1 - 2021-06-29 08:21 - okurz

- Blocks action #94588: [qem] dashboard.qam.suse.de to be included in approval qam-openqa added

#2 - 2021-06-30 16:18 - kraih

I also prefer making everything open source from the start. Main reasons not to do so here were that, a) the project was just a proof of concept until recently, b) openQABot and SMELT are closed source, c) ease of deployment from our gitlab instance, since Jan already has ansible set up there for pipelines. Aside from the code being pretty much useless without having a SMELT instance, the main concern will be deployment from GitHub to the dashboard machine. Oh, and of course naming the project. :)

#3 - 2021-07-22 06:57 - okurz

- Copied to action #95821: Make qa-maintenance/openQABot a proper public open source project added

#4 - 2021-08-16 15:48 - cdywan

As I understand it there's nothing blocking us here besides finding a new name. If CI can't easily be setup on GitHub I wouldn't consider that a problem, since this is about development and not about production deployments.

#5 - 2021-08-19 09:35 - kraih

cdywan wrote:

As I understand it there's nothing blocking us here besides finding a new name. If CI can't easily be setup on GitHub I wouldn't consider that a problem, since this is about development and not about production deployments.

Deployment to production is currently triggered by commits to the repo (after all tests pass in CI).

#6 - 2021-08-20 08:39 - okurz

- Related to action #97274: qam dashboard improvement ideas added