

QA - action #93931

coordination # 93871 (New): [epic] support process improvement in maintenance requests: packages from different code streams with identical fix sets/features in a single incident

[tools][qem] MTUI support multiple versions of package in incident

2021-06-14 08:51 - osukup

Status: Resolved	Start date: 2021-06-14
Priority: Low	Due date:
Assignee: osukup	% Done: 0%
Category:	Estimated time: 0.00 hour
Target version: Ready	
Description	
Acceptance criteria	
1. new Incidents can be created using multiple code branches	
Suggestion	
1. Parse new PackageVer from template 2. compare correct version during update/downgrade step 3. export command exports correct versions per rehost 4. list_packages command with the correct version	
Related issues:	
Has duplicate QA - action #80690: [qem] Testing of packages in different code...	Resolved 2020-12-03
Blocked by QA - action #93934: [tools][qem] template generator - multiple pac...	Resolved 2020-12-04

History

#1 - 2021-06-14 08:54 - osukup

- Blocked by action #93934: [tools][qem] template generator - multiple package version in incident added

#2 - 2021-06-15 08:37 - okurz

- Target version set to Ready

#3 - 2021-06-16 10:00 - okurz

[osukup](#) how would you judge the urgency of this ticket? Strictly speaking, when do we need this?

#4 - 2021-06-18 08:47 - osukup

- Description updated

- Status changed from New to In Progress

- Assignee set to osukup

[okurz](#) - in an ideal state, before the first Incident with this change :(

-> It needs move package versions storage and handling from Testreport class to Target + optimally also 'add/remove repo' etc

#5 - 2021-06-19 04:09 - openqa_review

- Due date set to 2021-07-03

Setting due date based on mean cycle time of SUSE QE Tools

#6 - 2021-06-21 11:28 - jbaier_cz

- Has duplicate action #80690: [qem] Testing of packages in different codestreams but in one incident added

#7 - 2021-06-21 11:58 - okurz

- Priority changed from Normal to Low

I understood from hrommel1 that this task would be good to follow-up and finish but is considered less important than for example [#92125](#) as IIUC workarounds are known and the impact is limited to mtui. If possible please finish fast or unassign to be picked up later again.

#8 - 2021-06-21 13:12 - jbaier_cz

okurz wrote:

as IIUC workarounds are known and the impact is limited to mtui

Maybe I miss something, but I am not really aware of any workaround. The impact is limited to testing incidents with this "feature" (and there are such in the queue at this moment).

#9 - 2021-06-21 13:34 - osukup

https://gitlab.suse.de/qa-maintenance/mtui/-/merge_requests/266

#10 - 2021-06-22 15:51 - cdywan

- *Description updated*

#11 - 2021-06-22 16:02 - osukup

- *Status changed from In Progress to Feedback*

if no complaint about devel version of MTUI +- on Monday create new version branch and publish in stable channel

#12 - 2021-06-28 10:03 - osukup

- *Status changed from Feedback to Resolved*

released as 13.0.0

#13 - 2021-07-05 12:21 - cdywan

- *Due date deleted (2021-07-03)*