

## openQA Project - action #93724

### openqa-review: "Unassigned bugs" includes soft-fails to bugs with assignees

2021-06-09 20:51 - okurz

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	2021-06-09
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	2021-07-03
<b>Assignee:</b>	dheidler	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Feature requests	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Ready		
<b>Difficulty:</b>			
<b>Description</b> <a href="https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/issues/146">https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/issues/146</a>			

#### History

##### #1 - 2021-06-09 20:51 - okurz

- Project changed from QA to openQA Project
- Category set to Feature requests

##### #2 - 2021-06-18 12:45 - dheidler

- Status changed from Workable to In Progress
- Assignee set to dheidler

##### #3 - 2021-06-19 04:09 - openqa\_review

- Due date set to 2021-07-03

Setting due date based on mean cycle time of SUSE QE Tools

##### #4 - 2021-06-22 12:40 - dheidler

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

I was unable to reproduce the issue and will therefore wait for a response from okurz on how he is running openqa-review to generate the dashboard.

##### #5 - 2021-06-22 17:58 - okurz

Gave the answer already in chat, can copy here:

openqa-review is called with parameters from [https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa\\_review/blob/master/bin/openqa-review-daily-email#L12](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/blob/master/bin/openqa-review-daily-email#L12), that is  
--host \${openqa\_host} -n -r -T --query-issue-status --no-empty-sections --include-softfails --running-threshold=2 --exclude-job-groups  
^(Released|Development|old)

##### #6 - 2021-06-24 08:55 - dheidler

[https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa\\_review/pull/150](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/pull/150)

##### #7 - 2021-06-24 13:28 - okurz

merged. New SR created: <https://build.opensuse.org/request/show/901745>

##### #8 - 2021-06-25 12:11 - tinita

When I wanted to review Dominik's PR yesterday, I found a similar issue, but on the o3 page:

[https://w3.nue.suse.com/~okurz/openqa\\_opensuse\\_org\\_status.html#unassigned\\_box](https://w3.nue.suse.com/~okurz/openqa_opensuse_org_status.html#unassigned_box)

Under OpenSUSE Tumbleweed you can find:

Arch: x86\_64 Status: Red

Existing Product bugs:

soft fails: upgrade\_Leap\_42.1\_kde -> boo1007701

soft fails: extra\_tests\_on\_xfce -> bsc981596

extra\_tests\_textmode\_containers, jeos-containers@64bit\_virtio -> gh#containers/podman#10685

And if you go to the bsc981596 link:

[https://bugzilla.suse.com/show\\_bug.cgi?id=981596](https://bugzilla.suse.com/show_bug.cgi?id=981596)

you will see that the bug is assigned.

I found out it has to do with the matching (bsc vs. bsc#).  
Should I create another ticket for this?

**#9 - 2021-06-25 13:46 - dheidler**

- *Status changed from Feedback to In Progress*

I will handle it here

**#10 - 2021-06-25 14:20 - okurz**

Actually if people fail to follow the convention don't clean up after them, raise it as an error within the generated report

**#11 - 2021-06-25 15:09 - dheidler**

The existing regex allows bugrefs without a hash:

```
bugref_regex = "(poo|boo|bsc|bgo) #? ([0-9]+) "
```

And bugrefs eg. in needles can't have a hash.

The problem is that the openqa\_review Issue class is dealing with them inconsistently.

**#12 - 2021-06-25 18:08 - okurz**

I wonder who introduced that inconsistency :D

**#13 - 2021-06-28 13:37 - dheidler**

- *Status changed from In Progress to Feedback*

[https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa\\_review/pull/161](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/pull/161)

**#14 - 2021-07-05 12:41 - dheidler**

- *Status changed from Feedback to Resolved*