openQA Project - coordination #89062

coordination # 91646 (Blocked): [saga][epic] SUSE Maintenance QA workflows with fully automated testing, approval and release

[epic] Simplify review for SUSE QAM

2021-02-24 12:28 - okurz

Status:	New	**Start date:**	2021-04-21
Priority:	Low	**Due date:**	
Assignee:		**% Done:**	60%
Category:	Feature requests	**Estimated time:**	0.00 hour
Target version:	future		
Difficulty:			

Description

Motivation

https://confluence.suse.com/pages/viewpage.action?spaceKey=openqa&title=QAM+openQA+review+guide explains the current process for openQA test review within SUSE QAM. We have already learned that they rely on some special rules which have not been foreseen like this within openQA, e.g. they see the black certificate as "tests reviewed and failures can be ignored" rather than "every job has a comment but not necessarily more than that" . We can try to improve openQA so that it features can be used in the correct way and review workflows become even easier.

Subtasks:

action # 91542: openQA API what jobs were/are testing X incident/package	**Resolved**
action # 91658: Make "black certificate" stricter to only show when /tests/overview?tod...	**Resolved**
action # 91770: Optional job investigation information in "investigation" tab rather th...	**New**
action # 91773: Automatic replacement of openQA job URLs preview of openQA	**New**
action # 92034: Re-enable openqa-investigate options after the black certificate now on...	**Resolved**

History

#1 - 2021-04-23 12:13 - okurz

Talked with geor. Mainly the information "openQA API what jobs were/are testing X incident/package", see #91542 , is missing from the maintenance test template. But the "comments" tab on smelt includes the most recent test results and hence. Any non-passed test on the most recent comment must be seen as a stopper preventing the approval. In the worst case the corresponding test scenario is pulled from the test schedule according to process, in the better case the test is fixed within a reasonable time frame.

Other ideas and suggestions:

- geor: The template generator output should just output non-passed tests to not overwhelm the reader
- okurz: the template generator output mixes both "optional information" with "necessary status". Both should be separated because every power user otherwise ignores relevant text very soon

#2 - 2021-04-23 13:36 - okurz

- Parent task changed from #39719 to #91646