

## openQA Project - action #71536

### Unhandled perl warnings in t/ui/15-admin-workers.t, not failing tests as expected (possibly other test modules as well)

2020-09-18 18:07 - okurz

<b>Status:</b>	Resolved	<b>Start date:</b>	2020-09-18
<b>Priority:</b>	Normal	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	mkittler	<b>% Done:</b>	0%
<b>Category:</b>	Feature requests	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	Ready		
<b>Difficulty:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
<b>Observation</b>			
t/ui/15-admin-workers.t shows perl warnings but still succeeds, e.g.:			
<pre>\$ prove -l t/ui/15-admin-workers.t t/ui/15-admin-workers.t .. 1/? Use of uninitialized value in pattern match (m//) at /home/okurz/local/os-autoinst/openQA/lib/OpenQA/WebAPI/Controller/Admin/Workers.pm line 28. Use of uninitialized value in pattern match (m//) at /home/okurz/local/os-autoinst/openQA/lib/OpenQA/WebAPI/Controller/Admin/Workers.pm line 28. Use of uninitialized value in pattern match (m//) at /home/okurz/local/os-autoinst/openQA/lib/OpenQA/WebAPI/Controller/Admin/Workers.pm line 28. t/ui/15-admin-workers.t .. 7/? Use of uninitialized value in pattern match (m//) at /home/okurz/local/os-autoinst/openQA/lib/OpenQA/WebAPI/Controller/Admin/Workers.pm line 28. t/ui/15-admin-workers.t .. ok All tests successful. Files=1, Tests=19, 13 wallclock secs ( 0.04 usr 0.00 sys + 1.98 cusr 0.22 csys = 2.24 CPU) Result: PASS</pre>			
This is also visible in circleci even though even less obvious. <a href="https://app.circleci.com/pipelines/github/os-autoinst/openQA/4264/workflows/c03c8397-a61e-481c-afbb-8c8839a69e9a/jobs/40889/steps">https://app.circleci.com/pipelines/github/os-autoinst/openQA/4264/workflows/c03c8397-a61e-481c-afbb-8c8839a69e9a/jobs/40889/steps</a> is green and there is no warning visible in the log output however <a href="https://app.circleci.com/pipelines/github/os-autoinst/openQA/4264/workflows/c03c8397-a61e-481c-afbb-8c8839a69e9a/jobs/40889/artifacts">https://app.circleci.com/pipelines/github/os-autoinst/openQA/4264/workflows/c03c8397-a61e-481c-afbb-8c8839a69e9a/jobs/40889/artifacts</a> references <a href="https://40889-20883829-gh.circle-artifacts.com/0/artifacts/ui/15-admin-workers.t">https://40889-20883829-gh.circle-artifacts.com/0/artifacts/ui/15-admin-workers.t</a> which shows the same warnings as above.			
Our test code uses use Test::Warnings ':report_warnings'; but to no avail. I also crosschecked if maybe Test::Warnings in a newer version is the problem itself but the problem reproduces with perl-Test-Warnings-0.026			
<b>Expected result</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Tests should fail if there is any warning, regardless of the source</li></ul>			
<b>Problem</b>			
This could be related to the fact that we start the SeleniumDriver in a background process and the warning might come from there. Introducing an artificial warning in the main thread is correctly handled.			
<b>Suggestions</b>			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Try to narrow down the source of the problem and identify from which process the warning comes</li><li>• Extend the existing approach to handle warnings or find a different approach for all background processes, e.g. compare to <a href="https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst/pull/1497">https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst/pull/1497</a></li></ul>			

#### History

#1 - 2020-09-25 08:40 - mkittler

- Assignee set to mkittler

**#2 - 2020-09-25 09:28 - mkittler**

- Status changed from *Workable* to *In Progress*

PR for fixing the warning itself: <https://github.com/os-autoinst/openQA/pull/3422>

Try to narrow down the source of the problem and identify from which process the warning comes

Here the warning comes indeed from a sub process. In this case it is the web UI but we generally wouldn't catch warnings in any sub processes (which are usually spawned by the test helpers `create_webapi`, `create_websocket_server`, `create_scheduler` and `create_live_view_handler`).

Extend the existing approach to handle warnings ...

That would be possible, see <https://github.com/os-autoinst/openQA/pull/3423> for a draft. However, it isn't a clear improvement so far (see the PR description) so I'm still trying to improve it.

**#3 - 2020-09-28 13:08 - mkittler**

- Status changed from *In Progress* to *Resolved*

I've improved the PR. It still isn't optimal (see <https://github.com/os-autoinst/openQA/pull/3423#issuecomment-699896044>) but at least warnings will be caught now in sub processes and the main test will fail and stop if that's the case.