

openQA Infrastructure - action #58325

Update firmware of our powerKVM machines (QA-Power8-3, QA-Power8-4, QA-Power8-5)

2019-10-17 11:18 - nicksinger

Status:	Rejected	Start date:	2019-10-17
Priority:	Low	Due date:	
Assignee:	okurz	% Done:	0%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	future		
Description			
https://bugzilla.suse.com/show_bug.cgi?id=1153543 requests a firmware upgrade.			
QA-Power8-{3..5}.qa.suse.de <- FSP QA-Power8-{3..5}-kvm.qa.suse.de <- Linux running as hypervisor			
power8-3 is configured but seems down (what happened? Is it even used still?)			
Related issues:			
Related to openQA Infrastructure - action #65130: Upgrade of firmware(s) for ...		Rejected	2020-04-01

History

#1 - 2020-07-29 07:09 - okurz

- Target version set to Ready

#2 - 2020-08-06 11:34 - okurz

- Tags changed from caching, openQA, sporadic, arm, ipmi, worker to worker

#3 - 2020-10-09 07:31 - okurz

- Priority changed from Normal to Low

- Target version changed from Ready to future

upgrading firmware might help but a workaround was found, the bug was resolved as WONTFIX and likely powerKVM has no future. Removing from our backlog

#4 - 2020-11-23 08:39 - okurz

- Status changed from New to Blocked

- Assignee set to okurz

Tracking as <https://infra.nue.suse.com/SelfService/Display.html?id=180841>

#5 - 2021-05-05 18:18 - okurz

- Related to action #65130: Upgrade of firmware(s) for cloudberry (power9 machine) added

#6 - 2021-05-05 18:20 - okurz

- Status changed from Blocked to Feedback

<https://infra.nue.suse.com/SelfService/Display.html?id=180841> was resolved without any proper comment by gschlotter. What I remember is that nicksinger asked me to hold my feet still while he tries to resolve the situation :)

[nicksinger](#) I would appreciate your feedback on the current situation.

#7 - 2021-05-06 09:15 - nicksinger

I tried to find my comment regarding my efforts upgrading QA-Power8-5 - couldn't find it. Basically I fail to upload the firmware onto the machine because the required toolchain is so old that I can't build a functional pflash for the BMC of these machines (glibc was too old on these BMCs). Somebody on the ML offered me a binary which is supposed to work but I really don't want to execute a random binary sent from somebody on a mailinglist which is accessing the BMC of our machines. So I'm currently stuck and out of ideas how to continue with these habanero machines.

#8 - 2021-05-15 21:03 - okurz

- *Status changed from Feedback to Rejected*

As discussed with nsinger we are not aware of further problems after we fixed the boot problems software side in [#68053](#) so we can also close this ticket as rejected as we did not conduct the firmware upgrades.