

## openQA Project - action #48641

coordination # 58184 (Blocked): [saga][epic][use case] full version control awareness within openQA, e.g. user forks and branches, fully versioned test schedules and configuration settings

### [epic] Trigger openQA tests in pull requests of any product github pull request

2019-03-04 12:02 - okurz

<b>Status:</b>	Blocked	<b>Start date:</b>	2021-01-13
<b>Priority:</b>	Low	<b>Due date:</b>	
<b>Assignee:</b>	okurz	<b>% Done:</b>	25%
<b>Category:</b>	Feature requests	<b>Estimated time:</b>	0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b>	future		
<b>Difficulty:</b>			
<b>Description</b>			
<b>User Story</b>			
As a developer of any software on github I want to execute an openQA test on a production server based on a pull request of my software to not need a local openQA instance			
<b>Further details</b>			
okurz: Had a nice discussion with trenn: <a href="https://github.com/cobbler/cobbler/pull/2024">https://github.com/cobbler/cobbler/pull/2024</a> is a nice example of what one would desire to do in openQA instead, e.g.			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>pull request in github triggers openQA test</li><li>openQA test executes a custom test defined in the github source repo of the product under test (cobbler in this case)</li><li>openQA test only boots a VM image, e.g. Tumbleweed, and executes the custom module</li><li>test result is fed back to the github PR</li></ol>			
implementation suggestions:			
<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>could be done with polling bot for now</li><li>using latest os-autoinst-distri-opensuse plus – as custom assets currently do not work – a custom test module that same what we tried already for HPC gets the defined test script, could be anything downloadable and executable, and runs it</li><li>use SCHEDULE parameter with e.g. SCHEDULE=tests/boot/boot_to_desktop,tests/run_custom</li><li>github API access relying on test variables previously provided on trigger</li></ol>			
<b>Subtasks:</b>			
action # 86063: [epic] Add possibility to trigger openQA API calls, e.g. single "jobs",...			<b>Blocked</b>
action # 87698: openQA jobs can be triggered with single curl calls			<b>Resolved</b>
action # 90788: openQA jobs with arbitrary parameters can be triggered over the webUI f...			<b>Workable</b>
action # 87695: [epic] full openQA test development, maintenance and administration fro...			<b>New</b>
<b>Related issues:</b>			
Related to openQA Project - coordination #77698: [epic] synchronous qemu base...		<b>New</b>	<b>2020-11-15</b>
Copied from openQA Project - action #44327: Trigger tests based on git refspe...		<b>Resolved</b>	<b>2018-11-25</b>

## History

### #1 - 2019-03-04 12:02 - okurz

- Copied from action #44327: Trigger tests based on git refspect/branch added

### #2 - 2019-10-15 10:21 - okurz

- Parent task set to #58184

### #3 - 2019-10-18 13:19 - mkittler

- This use-case is also impaired by the inability to use custom needles (<https://progress.opensuse.org/issues/56789#56789>).
- Besides, the implementation suggestion use SCHEDULE parameter with e.g. SCHEDULE=tests/boot/boot\_to\_desktop,tests/run\_custom implies

that a composability of test distributions would be required. This is of course possible by somehow embedding the base test distribution (e.g. os-autoinst-distri-opensuse) into the product repository (e.g. cobbler) adding own tests on top of it. But this sounds rather hacky and inconvenient to use. So having a light test distribution which can simply be referred to as "base test distribution" seems a desirable for this use-case.

These points are likely the tricky part. Triggering the test execution itself is likely not that hard. Instead of writing a "polling bot" I would add an API route in openQA which can be added as GitHub hook.

#### **#4 - 2020-04-12 21:24 - okurz**

mkittler wrote:

- This use-case is also impaired by the inability to use custom needles (<https://progress.opensuse.org/issues/56789#56789>).

Maybe we can still consider any need for needles an independant requirement of the part about triggering test code which I find more important.

Regarding the "hacky approach" or "base test distribution" I think we have a ticket for having something like a "linux" middleware between os-autoinst and os-autoinst-distri-opensuse that can be extracted but for now and to have a proof-of-concept on which to improve upon I recommend the "hacky" approach

[...] I would add an API route in openQA which can be added as GitHub hook.

What would you add as an API route here?

#### **#5 - 2020-07-28 11:31 - okurz**

- *Target version set to Ready*

#### **#7 - 2020-11-11 09:51 - okurz**

- *Related to coordination #77698: [epic] synchronous qemu based system level test in pull request CI runs, e.g. standalone isotovideo or openQA tests added*

#### **#8 - 2020-11-19 16:18 - okurz**

- *Subject changed from Trigger openQA tests in pull requests of any product github pull request to [epic] Trigger openQA tests in pull requests of any product github pull request*

- *Status changed from New to Blocked*

- *Assignee set to okurz*

waiting for [#77698](#) first

#### **#9 - 2021-05-07 11:14 - okurz**

- *Target version changed from Ready to future*

<https://progress.opensuse.org/issues/92022#note-4>