[sle][functional][y] Introduce only relevant tests modules for USBinstall test suite

25/10/2018 01:17 pm - riafarov

Status: Resolved
Priority: Normal
Assignee: JERiveraMoya
Category: Enhancement to existing tests
Target version: Milestone 22

Description

Motivation

We already execute same set of test suites on the same setup, except the fact that USB used for installation. So USBinstall test suite performs a lot of actions and creates review overhead not bringing much value.

We need to identify relevant set of test modules, which can be affected by having repo on, e.g. zypper tests, yast_i, updates

Acceptance criteria

1. Set of test modules is defined based on the business value of scenario and confirmed by stackholders
2. Only relevant test modules are scheduled in the scenario.

Suggestions

INSTALLATION_VALIDATION=... can be used here. We can ask yast team (#yast irc channel on freenode) and RMs.

Related issues:
Related to openQA Tests - action # 44708: [sle][functional][y] test fails in ...

History

#1 - 13/11/2018 10:16 am - okurz
- Subject changed from [sle][functional][y] Introduce only relevant tests modules for USBinstall test suite to [sle][functional][y] Introduce only relevant tests modules for USBinstall test suite
- Category set to Enhancement to existing tests

#2 - 21/11/2018 11:00 am - riafarov
- Description updated
- Estimated time set to 5.00

#3 - 30/11/2018 11:56 am - JERiveraMoya
- Status changed from Workable to In Progress
- Assignee set to JERiveraMoya

#4 - 30/11/2018 03:00 pm - JERiveraMoya
- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

Question asked in #yast channel but no answer atm.

In SLE-15 we assume that the first repo is usb, but it is not the case:

PR - Fix search usb repo number when not first
I was discussing this task with @JRivrain and the steps involved, once is merged he already have permission in OSD for setting up the test suite and provide the verification. Waiting for PR review.

Parameters added in test suite, and test suite moved to yast group.

VR :
https://openqa.suse.de/tests/2298563
https://openqa.suse.de/tests/2298564
(in progress)

- Status changed from Feedback to Resolved

- Related to action #44708: [sle][functional][y] test fails in enable_usb_repo - Unschedule enable_usb_repo added

- Due date changed from 04/12/2018 to 18/12/2018
- Status changed from Resolved to Workable
- Priority changed from Normal to Urgent

As described in #44708#note-6 reopening to handle the direct regression which dimstar first mentioned in
https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst-distri-opensuse/pull/6324#issuecomment-444058428

I don't think it is a regression, it is more a false positive. The PR just made it more explicit showing a problem that was hidden: We were enabling the first repo which was not usb and was already enabled (because there are not usb repos in this scenario).
There is no need for this test on that testsuite and possible bad use of the variable USBBOOT.

I also believe that it depends on the point of view. Objectively this change has exposed problem we always had. In the end I don't care how we solve this, I was fine with the new ticket. I also want to emphasize that JERiveraMoya has identified this problem and created ticket, that's really well done and shows that we cared about potential issues. So thanks for that! As for ticket, please handle it any way you comfortable with, either here, or we can use the one you've created and anyone from the team can pick it up.
thanks, what I was thinking is exactly how you put it. For me is easier to handle in that way, so I revert it, also I labeled the corresponding failing job with the other ticket the other day, so it is less messy now.