

openQA Tests - action #41795

[qam] test fails in reboot_gnome - needle warns about other logged in users

2018-09-28 23:16 - bfilho

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	2018-09-28
Priority:	High	Due date:	
Assignee:	coolo	% Done:	0%
Category:	Bugs in existing tests	Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:			
Difficulty:			

Description

Observation

openQA test in scenario sle-12-Server-DVD-Updates-x86_64-qam-allpatterns@64bit fails in [reboot_gnome](#)

Reproducible

Fails since (at least) Build [20180928-2](#)

Expected result

Last good: [20180928-1](#) (or more recent)

Further details

Always latest result in this scenario: [latest](#)

It seems that some messages changed in the dialog boxes, would require some re-needle.

History

#1 - 2018-09-29 11:06 - okurz

- Subject changed from test fails in reboot_gnome to [qam] test fails in reboot_gnome - needle warns about other logged in users

- Priority changed from Normal to High

please try to find more expressive subject lines and not just accept what the ticket reporting template suggests. Also, for [qam] specific issues also add [qam] in the subject line.

To me it seems as if other users would be logged in and therefore the reboot authentication dialog shows a different message. Though I do not see in which test module another user would be logged in. Assuming that this did not happen I consider this a product bug introduced recently, see https://openqa.suse.de/tests/2106568#next_previous

#2 - 2018-10-01 05:24 - coolo

- Status changed from New to Resolved

The comparison between last good (<https://openqa.suse.de/tests/2103431>) and first fail (<https://openqa.suse.de/tests/2106108>) shows that prepare_system_update was introduced by <https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst-distri-opensuse/pull/5826>

Looking at the verification runs: the needles used there don't actually check the message - so it doesn't matter there that the newly introduced test leaves a user logged in. So just reneedling looks the way to go.

So I created shutdown-auth-other-users-20181001

#3 - 2018-10-01 05:36 - okurz

- Assignee set to coolo

ok, good. I missed the different test schedule then.

#4 - 2018-10-01 05:41 - coolo

That would be a little obsessive - the number of verification runs in that PR is already huge