openQA Tests - action #37447

coordination # 34102 (Rejected): [functional][y][medium] improve openqa/scripts

[functional][y][medium] improve openqa/scripts - Replace openqa/scripts by an open source solution (or open source itself)

2018-06-16 07:18 - okurz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status:</th>
<th>Rejected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Priority:</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>riafarov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category:</td>
<td>Enhancement to existing tests</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target version:</td>
<td>future</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start date:</td>
<td>2018-05-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Due date:</td>
<td>2020-12-31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Done:</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated time:</td>
<td>0.00 hour</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Description**

**Acceptance criteria**

- **AC1**: openSUSE contributors that do not have access to any SUSE internal repos have access to all scripts being used to trigger any tests on openqa.opensuse.org

**Suggestions**

- Ask main stakeholders in this repo about their opinion, e.g. Inussel, coolo, qa-tools-team
- Create github project e.g. on os-autoinst/scripts, as replacement for parts of it or all
- Proper license (again, check with contributors)
- Replace previous location with redirect notice
- Ensure all references to old location - if changed - are updated

**Related issues:**

Blocks openQA Tests - coordination #36778: [functional][u][y][epic] improve o... Closed 2018-06-05

**History**

#1 - 2018-06-16 07:18 - okurz

- Copied from action #35766: [functional][y][medium] improve openqa/scripts - Feasibility: Make openqa/scripts open source if possible, feasible and beneficial added

#2 - 2018-06-16 07:18 - okurz

- Copied from deleted (action #35766: [functional][y][medium] improve openqa/scripts - Feasibility: Make openqa/scripts open source if possible, feasible and beneficial)

#3 - 2018-06-16 07:19 - okurz

- Parent task set to #34102

#4 - 2018-07-31 08:44 - riafarov

- Due date set to 2018-12-31

Setting due date to update due date in the parent ticket.

#5 - 2018-10-12 13:35 - okurz

- Priority changed from Low to Normal
- Target version changed from future to Milestone 21

IMHO we have seen with guillaume_g and michel_mno who care about openSUSE aarch64 and ppc64le that we would save time if they could create pull requests to change the openqa/scripts repo content.

#6 - 2018-11-16 10:59 - okurz

- Subject changed from [functional][medium] improve openqa/scripts - Replace openqa/scripts by an open source solution (or open source itself) to [functional][y][medium] improve openqa/scripts - Replace openqa/scripts by an open source solution (or open source itself)

2021-05-16
Discussed with coolo.

My proposal is to create a repo within https://github.com/os-autoinst used for "administration" of o3, e.g. just https://github.com/os-autoinst/o3. The repo should store salt recipes which might include configuration files which are managed by salt. This can also include files from http://gitlab.suse.de/openqa/scripts, e.g. rsync.pl and rsync_opensuse.pm but not the password and rsync_sle.pm and such. The internal repo should reference the external one e.g. as git submodule then.

coolo mentions the repo is too complicated/embarrassing/complicated for outside contributors to be able to contribute but my assessment is that the internal competence is not much better ;)

I'm not sure if we should invest time in this one instead of improving integration with OBS so we can get rid of it instead. WDYT?

Shortly discussed in the QSF-y sprint planning and we agreed that the current description is not clear enough to everyone. We could see that AC1 is actually already covered as is of now so we should update that as well.

Updated acceptance criteria.

With this I suggest to

- create https://github.com/os-autoinst/scripts
- move all files there that do not contain sensitive data
- reference the new repo as a git submodule or just a neighboring git checkout in https://gitlab.suse.de/openqa/scripts/

any objections?

Main issue from riafarov: "by splitting the repo we will help external contributors by making it worse for us"

Waiting for the feedback from coolo.

I assume this means silent consensus and we should be able move forward. However, as the team does not see that
much value in this we reduce priority.

#16 - 2019-01-29 10:41 - okurz
- Due date deleted (2019-01-29)

#17 - 2019-02-05 06:16 - okurz
- Due date set to 2020-12-31

setting a later due-date so that the parent ticket is also scheduled until then and does not remind me of over-due tasks.

#18 - 2019-05-23 08:15 - riafarov
Andrii Nikitin is actively working on the solution which will allow us having openSUSE part in the github project (potentially as git module, so in general ticket can be rejected.

#19 - 2019-09-19 09:05 - riafarov
- Status changed from Workable to Rejected
- Assignee set to riafarov

As per last comment.

#20 - 2019-09-19 12:40 - okurz
yep, agreed. However the parts that are not covered by the obs-rsync plugin, e.g. helper scripts, are now already partially living in http://github.com/os-autoinst/scripts/ so that part is covered as well :)