

openQA Tests - action #37429

coordination # 34138 (Rejected): [functional][u][epic][eternal] work on any issue from http://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/issues/

[functional][y] Improve openqa_review to include skipped tests into the report

2018-06-15 13:31 - okurz

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	2018-06-15
Priority:	Low	Due date:	2019-04-09
Assignee:	ybonatakis	% Done:	0%
Category:	Enhancement to existing tests	Estimated time:	5.00 hours
Target version:	future		
Difficulty:			

Description

Motivation

I as a QA Project Manager would like to know which tests were not executed for particular build in the report to understand risks we have to miss bugs in given areas.

https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/issues/98

Acceptance criteria

1. Generated report from openqa-review includes section which lists skipped tests

Further details

Script can executed manually.

Generated reports can found here: Latest report based on openQA test results <http://s.qa.suse.de/test-status> , SLE12: <http://s.qa.suse.de/test-status-sle12> , SLE15: <http://s.qa.suse.de/test-status-sle15>

Related issues:

Copied to openQA Tests - action #50186: [functional][y] Include url to the sk...	Resolved	2018-06-15	2019-05-21
--	-----------------	-------------------	-------------------

History

#1 - 2018-06-15 13:31 - okurz

- Copied to action #37432: [functional][u] work on https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/projects/1 according to ordering added

#2 - 2018-06-15 13:33 - okurz

- Copied to deleted (action #37432: [functional][u] work on https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/projects/1 according to ordering)

#3 - 2018-06-15 18:52 - okurz

- Target version changed from Milestone 17 to Milestone 17

#4 - 2018-06-20 11:24 - riafarov

- Due date deleted (2018-07-03)

- Assignee set to okurz

As per our discussion I move it out from the sprint. Let me know if you want to discuss it again, we can rework and schedule. Sprint is full anyway.

#5 - 2018-06-28 20:27 - okurz

- Assignee deleted (okurz)

- Target version changed from Milestone 17 to Milestone 18

[riafarov](#) I tried to provide a bigger context with the ticket hierarchy. #37351 is the *saga* which should give a long-term vision and motivation why we work in this direction. Now there is also a relation to [#27735](#) about using ttm which might be an alternative but also bring together ideas. The parent ticket [#34138](#) has a motivation with explanation what *openqa-review* is used for and how people rely on it. Please help me to make it even more clear

if it is not enough.

#6 - 2018-07-26 14:03 - okurz

- Description updated

#7 - 2018-07-26 14:04 - okurz

- Priority changed from Normal to Low

- Target version changed from Milestone 18 to future

y-team does not like this somehow but leaving with lower prio in case anyone from y-team looks for "and now for something completely different" eventually :)

#8 - 2018-10-05 11:19 - okurz

- Due date set to 2019-02-28

#9 - 2019-02-17 12:35 - okurz

- Subject changed from [functional][y] work on https://github.com/okurz/openqa_review/projects/1 according to ordering to [functional][y] work on https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/projects/1 according to ordering

#10 - 2019-02-17 12:36 - okurz

- Description updated

#11 - 2019-02-28 11:19 - riafarov

- Due date changed from 2019-02-28 to 2019-03-12

#12 - 2019-03-04 12:21 - riafarov

- Due date changed from 2019-03-12 to 2019-03-26

No capacity

#13 - 2019-03-13 10:37 - riafarov

- Subject changed from [functional][y] work on https://github.com/os-autoinst/openqa_review/projects/1 according to ordering to [functional][y] Improve openqa_review to include skipped tests into the report

- Description updated

- Estimated time set to 5.00 h

#14 - 2019-03-14 16:15 - riafarov

- Description updated

#15 - 2019-03-21 11:45 - ybonatakis

- Assignee set to ybonatakis

#16 - 2019-03-21 11:45 - ybonatakis

- Status changed from Workable to In Progress

#17 - 2019-03-25 16:16 - ybonatakis

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

[riafarovokurz](#) i wonder where is the best place to add this section?

#18 - 2019-03-26 06:48 - JERiveraMoya

I'm not sure if I understand your question, but if you're referring to sections of the report to be generated, I guess it could be at the end, in a similar way to sections "new issues" or "existing issues" for each architecture.

#19 - 2019-03-26 09:26 - ybonatakis

JERiveraMoya wrote:

I'm not sure if I understand your question, but if you're referring to sections of the report to be generated, I guess it could be at the end, in a

similar way to sections "new issues" or "existing issues" for each architecture.

ok. i think you answered my question. Thanks @JERiveraMoya

#20 - 2019-03-26 10:03 - JERiveraMoya

- *Due date changed from 2019-03-26 to 2019-04-09*

Moving to next sprint.

#21 - 2019-04-05 08:22 - JERiveraMoya

PR: [Generate report including the skipped test](#)

#22 - 2019-04-09 09:13 - riafarov

- *Status changed from Feedback to Resolved*

#23 - 2019-04-09 09:15 - riafarov

- *Copied to action #50186: [functional][y] Include url to the skipped tests in openqa_review added*