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Description

Motivation

Product module pcm was randomly added for other combinations in Migration matrix by mistake, but this action changed the test case. Instead we should cover pcm in its existing test case for combination with that module. So basically this ticket revert the problem that was introduced previously.

The following cases should remove 'pcm' from the test suite name and its openQA setting 'addon':
- offline_sles12sp5_psc_bas_pcm_all_full_GM@ppc64le
- offline_ltss_sles15sp2_media_bas_ses-srv-desk_pcm_all_full
- online_sles15sp3_psc_bas_ses-srv-live_tsm_pcm_all_full_zdup

Adapt the following test cases to cover pcm with all patterns plus some specific changes (if any specified below):

- Modify offline_sles12sp5_rmt_pcm-conr_def_full
- We need to add similar test suite to offline_sles15sp2_ltss_rmt_bas_ses-srv-lgm_pcm_def_full but in 'Migration' job group and connecting scc for registration and migration (p1/c/c)
- Add similar test suite to online_sles15sp3_psc_bas_ses-srv-lgm_pcm_def_full_y but migrating with zypper instead of YaST.

Acceptance criteria

AC1: Remove "pcm" from scenarios listed above
AC2: Add pcm to its corresponding combination in the test coverage.

Suggestions

Locate in the Migration matrix spreadsheet the changes described as starting point.

History

#1 - 2022-08-22 13:00 - JERiveraMoya
- Tracker changed from coordination to action

#2 - 2022-08-24 12:22 - JERiveraMoya
- Subject changed from Drop Online migration scenarios to SLE 15 SP5 to Revert addition of module pcm in Migration and adapt in its own combination
- Description updated

#3 - 2022-08-30 12:02 - JERiveraMoya
- Description updated

#4 - 2022-08-31 08:11 - JERiveraMoya
- Tags deleted (qe-yast-refinement)
- Status changed from New to Workable

#5 - 2022-08-31 08:32 - maritawerner
For pcm the scenario with "all patterns" is not a valid scenario, only default patterns are meaningful, please see this bug: https://bugzilla.suse.com/show_bug.cgi?id=989687#c19

2022-09-04
Hi Marita, about the pcm with 'all patterns', we have a long discussion with HPC and Public cloud team, then got the conclusion we should test SLES for pcm with 'all patterns' with clear pattern combinations (Exclude "Instance-Init" and "Instance-Tools"). Corresponding ticket https://progress.opensuse.org/issues/105094

The e-mail titled as 'About HPC test scenario with Public cloud module on Aarch64' is for the discussion of pcm.