

openQA Tests - action #11452

Feature 318945: AutoYaST EULA display

2016-04-01 13:00 - maritawerner

Status:	Resolved	Start date:	2016-04-01
Priority:	Urgent	Due date:	
Assignee:	mkravec	% Done:	100%
Category:		Estimated time:	0.00 hour
Target version:	Milestone 3		
Difficulty:			
Description			
For details see https://fate.suse.com/318945 Feature status is "done".			
During the SLES12 Common Criteria AutoYaST profile development we came across a limitation that the EULA page cannot be shown and accepted during the installation.			
As the Common Criteria AutoYaST profiles for SLES12 are predefined and not created by a system administrator the EULA needs to be accepted during installation. Right now we use the Firstboot YaST service to show the EULA during first system boot.			
Acceptance criteria:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• define and document the way customers using AutoYaST should accept the EULA			

History

#1 - 2016-04-06 16:18 - RBrownSUSE

- Target version set to 168

#2 - 2016-04-19 11:57 - dmaiocchi

- Assignee set to dmaiocchi

#3 - 2016-04-19 12:45 - dmaiocchi

updating the target specification of the tests.

So far i read in the Fate, this feature is done only for SP2, so test will be only for SP2.

Technical Specification/ HOWTO:

1) Modify the autoyast profile and modify the xml-string for showing the Eula-page.

```
<confirm_base_product_license config:type="boolean">true</confirm_base_product_license>
```

1b) find the correct file that need the modification.

**Researching **

2)Make a needle that check if the Eula message is showed.

--> 2B) Write the test and some code for a new-test cases, or see if it can be a existing test-file.pm reused.

--> 2C) Integrate the test-cases on the main.pm

2C) Research where the test can be integrated on the production Openqa-workflow.

3) Making PR for the openqa production

At moment researching about autoyast installation production of openqa, which scenario run this. (asking on Irc, gave me only this one two)

- autoupgrade
- autoyast jobgroup

The diffucult in this task will be only the reaserch itself and do the dependencies consideration , where to integrate the test.

To clarify/ Open-question:

* should be the eula test be a standard test runned on each scenario/autoyast production tests or should be a test runned sometimes in a matrix ?

#4 - 2016-04-19 13:28 - RBrownSUSE

Why is this a question?

The FATE is very clear

"Customer benefit:

In order to fulfill different regulations, we need to ensure that customers accept the EULA before using the product
In the case of AutoYaST profiles we need to define a way for customers to accept the EULA."

This is an *option* which needs to be turned on in certain regulatory situations (eg. Common Criteria Certification)

Therefore you should not modify every autoyast scenario, this is a new scenario for this specific feature.

#5 - 2016-04-19 14:12 - dmaiocchi

ok for me was not clear, that's why before starting to do something i wanted to ask. Thank you for your feedback.

So resuming:

- I will add a new tests on the scenario openqa.suse.de "SLE 12 SP2 4.Autoyast", called like the others "sles12sp2_autoyast_EULA", but "eula"
- Create a new autoyast.file "eula_autoyast" as a name example, that make this image showed. I will follow the schema of other autoyast files, only adding this string.
- "sles12sp2_autoyast_EULA" will take care that the eula page is showed on, and then make the installation workflow like the others.

If we can agree on this test plan, i have no more question.

#6 - 2016-04-19 14:18 - RBrownSUSE

Yes, sounds good, agreed.

#7 - 2016-05-30 12:53 - okurz

- Target version changed from 168 to Milestone 3

#8 - 2016-06-06 07:24 - okurz

- Assignee deleted (dmaiocchi)

#9 - 2016-07-11 13:47 - RBrownSUSE

- Priority changed from Normal to Urgent

#10 - 2016-08-03 09:35 - mkravec

- Assignee set to mkravec

#11 - 2016-08-03 13:12 - mkravec

- Status changed from New to In Progress

#12 - 2016-08-06 16:21 - okurz

Feature test: FAILED

There is one observation by mkravec stated as question in <https://fate.suse.com/318945> which is so far unanswered and I see the observation. There is no test case. The documentation might be good enough but I don't know where it is.

#13 - 2016-08-08 14:23 - mkravec

- Status changed from In Progress to Feedback

BETA warning is show during license confirmation in autoyast, waiting for reply if this is expected behavior.

https://bugzilla.suse.com/show_bug.cgi?id=992803

#14 - 2016-08-12 07:46 - mkravec

- Status changed from *Feedback* to *In Progress*

#15 - 2016-08-15 08:23 - mkravec

- % Done changed from 0 to 100

PR: <https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst-distri-opensuse/pull/1702>

#16 - 2016-08-16 07:24 - mkravec

- Status changed from *In Progress* to *Resolved*

<https://openqa.suse.de/tests/517265>