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**Description**

The TEST parameter is very useful to run only one test from an iso job group. It would be nice if it could also select more than one test, e.g. by accepting comma separated list TEST=test1,test2,...

**History**

#1 - 29/01/2016 01:05 pm - coolo

that is syntactic sugar for for t in test1 test2; do client job post ... ? or do I misunderstand?

#2 - 29/01/2016 03:01 pm - psladek

Not just that; the for cycle way unfortunately does not work, since the latter command somehow resets the previous ones, effectively only leaving the last one (while sometimes? keeping some dependent jobs not explicitly listed).
Also even if this was somehow fixed, I am not sure what other differences would be there internally... I think ideally, if one includes all tests in job group explicitly, it should behave exactly same as the iso post without TEST parameter.

#3 - 15/04/2016 06:26 am - cwh

- *Status changed from New to In Progress*

Got a solution here: [https://github.com/os-autoinst/openQA/pull/642](https://github.com/os-autoinst/openQA/pull/642)

#4 - 14/10/2016 12:59 pm - oholecek

- *Status changed from In Progress to Resolved*

This is done and working as expected.