

## openQA Project - coordination #101048

### [epic] Investigate and fix higher instability of openqaworker-arm-4/5 vs. arm-1/2/3

2021-10-15 14:39 - okurz

<b>Status:</b> Blocked	<b>Start date:</b> 2021-10-15
<b>Priority:</b> Normal	<b>Due date:</b> 2022-01-25
<b>Assignee:</b> okurz	<b>% Done:</b> 100%
<b>Category:</b> Concrete Bugs	<b>Estimated time:</b> 0.00 hour
<b>Target version:</b> Ready	
<b>Difficulty:</b>	
<b>Description</b>	
<b>Observation</b>	
According to <a href="https://monitor.qa.suse.de/d/nRDab3Jiz/openqa-jobs-test?viewPanel=27&amp;orgId=1&amp;from=now-30d&amp;to=now">https://monitor.qa.suse.de/d/nRDab3Jiz/openqa-jobs-test?viewPanel=27&amp;orgId=1&amp;from=now-30d&amp;to=now</a> (sort by "avg" in the table on the right-hand side) openqaworker-arm-4/5 have a fail-ratio of 33-36% vs. openqaworker-arm-1/2/3 with a fail-ratio of 15-17%	
<b>Acceptance criteria</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <b>AC1:</b> openqaworker-arm-4/5 have a fail-ratio less or equal to arm-1/2/3</li></ul>	
<b>Suggestions</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Confirm if typing issues cause the failures (look for timeouts, observe additional or missing characters in typed commands)</li><li>• Upgrade arm3 to Leap 15.3 and compare failure rate -&gt; <a href="#">#101265</a> =&gt; Leap 15.3 behaves similar as Leap 15.2</li><li>• Consider switching to kernel-stable or kernel-head -&gt; <a href="#">#101271</a> =&gt; "kernel-default" from Kernel:stable behaves same as openSUSE:Leap:15.3 one</li><li>• <del>Consider downgrading kernel to what's used in 15.2</del> -&gt; same upstream version is running on most</li><li>• Bring back arm 4 and 5 after verifying stability</li><li>• Run <a href="#">typing.pm</a> from os-autoinst as test in production -&gt; <a href="#">#101262</a></li></ul>	
<b>Subtasks:</b>	
action # 101262: Document running os-autoinst full-stack.t on OSD workers size:M	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 101265: Upgrade arm3 to Leap 15.3 and compare failure rate size:M	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 101271: Try Kernel:stable on arm4+arm5 and compare failure rate size:M	<b>Resolved</b>
action # 104304: Crosscheck results of <a href="https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst#verif...">https://github.com/os-autoinst/os-autoinst#verif...</a>	<b>Resolved</b>
<b>Related issues:</b>	
Related to openQA Project - action #101030: Typing problems on aarch64	<b>Resolved</b> 2021-10-15
Copied to openQA Project - action #101265: Upgrade arm3 to Leap 15.3 and comp...	<b>Resolved</b> 2021-10-15

## History

### #1 - 2021-10-16 12:36 - okurz

- Related to action #101030: Typing problems on aarch64 added

### #2 - 2021-10-18 11:37 - nicksinger

- File `sysctl_diff.html` added

First investigation shows that we run leap15.2 on the "old" workers and 15.3 on the new ones. Kernel-version seems to be quite different between different workers:

arm-1: 5.8.3-1.gbad027a-default  
arm-2: 5.7.12-1.g9c98feb-default  
arm-3: 5.3.18-lp152.95-default  
arm-4: 5.3.18-59.27-default  
arm-5: 5.3.18-59.27-default

given that arm3 has at least a similar kernel version I'd exclude kernel for now. Kernel cmdline is managed by salt and therefore the same on all 5 machines.

I also tried to diff the sysctl's currently set in the system. Due to different kernels this is a quite tedious task and I didn't see much which could make a difference here. Attaching the diff as html.

**#3 - 2021-10-18 11:44 - nicksinger**

despite being way less utilized arm4 sees load-spikes up to 75 and is around 25 quite constantly according to:

<https://monitor.ga.suse.de/d/WDopenqaworker-arm-4/worker-dashboard-openqaworker-arm-4?viewPanel=54694&orgId=1&from=1633952613651&to=1634557413651> - this could hint to IO performance issues.

**#4 - 2021-10-18 11:51 - nicksinger**

Disk IO is 10x less compared to arm3 on arm4:

<https://monitor.ga.suse.de/d/WDopenqaworker-arm-4/worker-dashboard-openqaworker-arm-4?viewPanel=13782&orgId=1&from=1633953007558&to=1634557807558> (expected, less load) but IO response times seem to be ~50% worse according to <https://monitor.ga.suse.de/d/WDopenqaworker-arm-4/worker-dashboard-openqaworker-arm-4?viewPanel=56720&orgId=1&from=1633953007558&to=1634557807558>

**#5 - 2021-10-18 11:55 - nicksinger**

network IO seems fine. Higher packet drops can be observed on arm4 & arm5 (exactly the same pattern, so hinting to the switch) but IMHO this shouldn't cause such a performance-hit

**#6 - 2021-10-21 09:14 - okurz**

- Priority changed from High to Urgent

**#7 - 2021-10-21 09:27 - cdywan**

- Description updated

**#8 - 2021-10-21 09:37 - cdywan**

- Tracker changed from action to coordination

- Subject changed from Investigate higher instability of openqaworker-arm-4/5 vs. arm-1/2/3 to [epic] Investigate higher instability of openqaworker-arm-4/5 vs. arm-1/2/3

- Description updated

**#9 - 2021-10-21 09:48 - cdywan**

- Copied to action #101265: Upgrade arm3 to Leap 15.3 and compare failure rate size:M added

**#10 - 2021-10-21 09:50 - okurz**

- Description updated

**#11 - 2021-10-21 09:54 - okurz**

- Description updated

**#12 - 2021-10-21 10:01 - okurz**

- Status changed from New to Blocked

- Assignee set to okurz

blocked by subtasks

**#13 - 2021-11-14 14:50 - okurz**

- Description updated

**#14 - 2021-11-14 14:53 - okurz**

- Description updated

**#15 - 2021-11-14 14:54 - okurz**

- Status changed from Blocked to New

- Assignee deleted (okurz)

I updated the epic with the results from [#101265](#) and [#101271](#) . We can now continue defining more hypotheses to follow-up with.

**#16 - 2021-11-16 10:10 - okurz**

- Subject changed from [epic] Investigate higher instability of openqworker-arm-4/5 vs. arm-1/2/3 to [epic] Investigate and fix higher instability of openqworker-arm-4/5 vs. arm-1/2/3

**#17 - 2021-11-17 14:08 - kraih**

There's still a lot of failed jobs from the [#101271](#) stress test that should be searched for patterns. Maybe that will give some hints for where to look with followup investigations.

**#18 - 2021-11-18 18:49 - okurz**

- Status changed from New to Workable

**#20 - 2021-12-08 14:11 - mkittler**

The workers arm-4/5 went offline on 05.12.2021. IPMI still responds so I invoked a power cycle. However, they both workers didn't boot successfully. They've got both stuck in the early boot:

```
Loading Linux 5.15.5-lp153.2.g83fc974-default ...
Loading initial ramdisk ...
EFI stub: Booting Linux Kernel...
EFI stub: EFI_RNG_PROTOCOL unavailable
EFI stub: ERROR: FIRMWARE BUG: kernel image not aligned on 64k boundary
EFI stub: ERROR: FIRMWARE BUG: Image BSS overlaps adjacent EFI memory region
EFI stub: Using DTB from configuration table
EFI stub: Exiting boot services...
INFO: Node: 0 :: REP: 0x0, REP-FAIL: 0x0, MBIST: 0x0, MBIST-FAIL: 0x803c3c
INFO: Node: 1 :: REP: 0x0, REP-FAIL: 0x0, MBIST: 0x0, MBIST-FAIL: 0x803c3c
[ 0.000000][ T0] Booting Linux on physical CPU 0x000000000 [0x431f0af2]
[ 0.000000][ T0] Linux version 5.15.5-lp153.2.g83fc974-default (geeko@buildhost) (gcc (SUSE Linux) 11.2.
1 20210816 [revision 056e324ce46a7924b5cf10f61010cf9dd2ca10e9], GNU ld (GNU Binutils; SUSE Linux Enterprise 15
) 2.37.20211103-7.26) #1 SMP Thu Nov 25 09:36:40 UTC 2021 (83fc974)
[ 0.000000][ T0] efi: EFI v2.70 by American Megatrends
[ 0.000000][ T0] efi: ESRT=0xf9515018 SMBIOS=0xfe390000 SMBIOS 3.0=0xfe380000 ACPI 2.0=0xfd8d0000 MOKvar
=0xf7bd7000 MEMRESERVE=0xf4801798
[ 0.000000][ T0] esrt: Reserving ESRT space from 0x00000000f9515018 to 0x00000000f9515050.
[ 0.000000][ T0] ACPI: Early table checksum verification disabled
...
[ 39.152724][ T1] pci_bus 0000:80: resource 4 [mem 0x60000000-0x7fffffff window]
[ 39.160282][ T1] pci_bus 0000:80: resource 5 [mem 0x1400000000-0x17fffffff window]
[ 39.168366][ T1] pci_bus 0000:91: resource 1 [mem 0x60000000-0x600fffff]
[ 39.214998][ T1] iommu: Default domain type: Passthrough
[ 39.220723][ T1] pci 0000:0d:00.0: vgaarb: VGA device added: decodes=io+mem,owns=none,locks=none
[ 39.229763][ T1] pci 0000:0d:00.0: vgaarb: bridge control possible
[ 39.236201][ T1] pci 0000:0d:00.0: vgaarb: setting as boot device (VGA legacy resources not available)
[ 39.245755][ T1] vgaarb: loaded
[ 39.249503][ T1] SCSI subsystem initialized
[ 39.254128][ T1] pps_core: LinuxPPS API ver. 1 registered
[ 39.259785][ T1] pps_core: Software ver. 5.3.6 - Copyright 2005-2007 Rodolfo Giometti <giometti@linux.it
>
[ 39.269652][ T1] PTP clock support registered
[ 39.274282][ T1] EDAC MC: Ver: 3.0.0
[ 39.278314][ T1] Registered efivars operations
[ 39.287410][ T1] NetLabel: Initializing
[ 39.291497][ T1] NetLabel: domain hash size = 128
[ 39.296541][ T1] NetLabel: protocols = UNLABELED CIPSOv4 CALIPSO
[ 39.302898][ T1] NetLabel: unlabeled traffic allowed by default
<no further log messages>
```

I removed both workers from salt and paused the host-up alerts.

**#21 - 2021-12-21 11:38 - kraih**

I also gave power cycling arm-4 a try and for me it ended at a slightly different point:

```
...
[ 27.159822][ T1] pci_bus 0000:0e: resource 1 [mem 0x43100000-0x432fffff]
[ 27.196956][ T1] ARMH0011:00: ttyAMA0 at MMIO 0x402020000 root bus resource [mem 0x60000000-0x7fffffff w
indow]
[ 38.675918][ T1] pci_bus 0000:80: root bus resource [mem 0x1400000000-0x17fffffff window]
[ 38.684606][ T1] pci_bus 0000:80: root bus resource [bus 80-ff]
[ 38.690813][ T1] pci 0000:80:00.0: [177d:af00] type 00 class 0x060000
[ 38.697639][ T1] pci 0000:80:01.0: [177d:af84] type 01 class 0x060400
[ 38.704369][ T1] pci 0000:80:01.0: PME# supported from D0 D3hot D3cold
[ 38.711273][ T1] pci 0000:80:02.0: [177d:af84] type 01 class 0x060400
```

```
[ 38.718000][ T1] pci 0000:80:02.0: PME# supported from D0 D3hot D3cold
[ 38.724911][ T1] pci 0000:80:03.0: [177d:af84] type 01 class 0x060400
[ 38.731640][ T1] pci 0000:80:03.0: PME# supported from D0 D3hot D3cold
[ 38.738539][ T1] pci 0000:80:04.0: [177d:af84] type 01 class 0x060400
[ 38.745267][ T1] pci 0000:80:04.0: PME# supported from D0 D3hot D3cold
[ 38.752161][ T1] pci 0000:80:05.0: [177d:af84] type 01 class 0x060400
[ 38.758892][ T1] pcrom D0 D3hot D3cold
[ 38.888346][ T1] pci 0000:80:0f.0: [14e4:9026] type 00 class 0x0c0330
[ 38.895048][ T1] pci 0000:80:0f.0: reg 0x10: [mem 0x14000030000-0x1400003ffff 64bit pref]
[ 38.903479][ T1] pci 0000:80:0f.0: reg 0x18: [mem 0x14000020000-0x1400002ffff 64bit pref]
[ 38.911999][ T1] pci 0000:80:0f.1: [14e4:9026] type 00 class 0x0c0330
[ 38.918695][ T1] pci 0000:80:0f.1: reg 0x10: [mem 0x14000010000-0x1400001ffff 64bit pref]
[ 38.927129][ T1] pci 0000:80:0f.1: reg 0x18: [mem 0x14000000000-0x1400000ffff 64bit pref]
[ 38.935693][ T1] acpiphp: Slot [1] registered
[ 38.940380][ T1] acpiphp: Slot [1-1] registered
[ 38.945240][ T1] acpiphp: Slot [1-2] registered
[ 38.950096][ T1] acpiphp: Slot [1-3] registered
[ 38.954941][ T1] pci 0000:91:00.0: [8086:0a54] type 00 class 0x010802
[ 38.961645][ T1] pci 0000:91:00.0: reg 0x10: [mem 0x600000000-0x60003fff 64bit]
[ 38.969148][ T1] pci 0000:91:00.0: reg 0x30: [mem 0xfffff0000-0xffffffff pr
<no further log messages>
```

The machine does boot with the 5.14.14 kernel though. Upgrading to 5.15.10 did not work, gets stuck at the same point during boot.

#### #22 - 2021-12-21 13:48 - kraih

Downgraded both machines to the default Leap 15.3 kernel, so they are working again.

#### #23 - 2021-12-22 19:18 - okurz

- Status changed from *Workable* to *Blocked*

- Assignee set to *okurz*

#### Files

---

sysctl\_diff.html

39.3 KB

2021-10-18

nicksinger